

OSUGANNUAL CONFERENCE

SAP SAPPHIRENOW



Agenda

- Overview Varian
- Business case Migration & Transform
- Driver for Brownfield Approach
- Master Data
- Custom code Lifecycle
- Business Process Impacts
- Third Party Interfaces and Batch Jobs
- UI Strategy and FIORI
- Security Impacts
- Conversion and Migration
- Timelines
- Testing Scope and Effort
- Overall Summary



varian

Varian – a snapshot

3





Expanding our mission

- Build on 70 year legacy of innovation and problem solving in RT
- Transforming to a broad-based cancer care company with clinical solutions relevant across the cancer care continuum



Varian-Overview Why

Worldwide cancer burden is growing





Focus on all cancer patient treatments

varian

A world without the fear of cancer

Key Results by 2022

What

- Increase our customer NPS from 53% to 70%
- Increase employee engagement from 73 to 79
- Double the cancer patients we impact to 6M
- Build a \$4B cancer company with \$0.5B outside Radiation Oncology



Varian will transform from the global leader in RT in 2017 into the operating system for cancer in 2022

Oncology

Aggregate

Data

Insights

20M

Build

Capabilities

Medicine

Medical

Oncology

Ē



S/4 Business Case - Drivers

S/4 Platform is built to achieve unprecedented level business process simplifications, drive embedded analytics and improve user experience for business users. It is also designed to



VIT-EAS team worked on a comprehensive business case to translate expected business value (\$\$) to S/4 functionalities.

S/4 Migration-The Business Imperatives

There are opportunities to profoundly improve the business processes. Here are a few examples that are driven from compliance as well as business imperative.

Universal Journal: FI & CO merged into universal journal. CO supports 3 currencies instead of 2. Major simplification for reconciliation getting realized (FOREX, Controlling, Consolidation, Closing etc.)

Profitability Analysis for Services

- This functionality was implemented for Oncology system sales. Business is asking for this capability for OS Service.
- This is a 9 months project although if implemented using S/4 functionality then it is easy to implement as SAP has redesigned COPA as part of FI (Financials accounting). This has been slated to go live in November 2018

Revenue Recognition

- S/4 platform only supports revenue recognition using RAR tool. Our recommendation is to consolidate Service Revenue Recognition along with S/4 platform migration.
- It can be divided into two phases with RAR service revenue recognition going live in July and S/4 overall platform going live in Nov 2017.



varian

What prompted Varian to move ahead with a Brownfield Approach ?

- Runs single global instance
- IT runs acquisition and integration cookbooks and very effective to execute on time
- Lack of drivers like other companies to consolidated multiple SAP instance

One Single Global Instance of SAP

- Sales & Service business are in Salesforce platform rest runs on SAP.
 - Greenfield would have been multifold efforts compared to brownfield.
 - Data retention requirement consideration.

varian

Large solution footprint on SAP ECC

Limited changes in SAP Platform

- Limited changes in business processes since the SAP Re-implementation in 2009.
- Large scale transformation and reimplementation in 2008-09 time frame.
- Our focus is on automation and enhancing functionality e.g. GL-Profitability reporting, Cash mgt etc.



Master Data Clean Up



Business Partner (CVI) Migration for Customers & Vendors is one of the major pre-requisites for performing a S/4 migration. Every single instance of historical bad data that exists in the customer & vendor master will rear its head up while doing the business partner migration and needs to be addressed.

Business Partner is a not really a replacement for customers & vendors

- Existing Customer and Vendor numbers are still applicable and business as usual.
- Business Partner is a layer on top of the existing Customer and Vendor functionality.

varian

All customers/vendors need to be migrated to business partner

- All customers/vendors regardless of whether they are marked for deletion or not need to be migrated.
- Archive customers/ vendors you don't want to bring over, but Varian didn't do so as there is a legal requirements.

All master data errors need to be addressed prior to migration

 Engaged in a Data Cleanup exercise with our corporate data integrity team to clean up all tax jurisdiction code errors, postal code errors, email address errors, VAT number errors, bank key errors etc. as part of the project. This was a 2 – 3 month exercise.

Number range considerations

 We had overlapping number ranges for customers and vendors, so to keep the complexity low, business partners for all customers were prefixed with a 'C' and for vendors with a 'S'.

CISUG

Custom Code Lifecycle Mgt.

S/4 Migration and transformation involved reviewing entire custom code repository using S/4 simplification tool and making it compatible with S/4 standards.

of custom objects: 4,000 objects with 27,000 corrections (Function module, tables, structure and programs

Pain points

varian

11

- Identified and replace obsolete BDC calls e.g. MIGO
- Materials length (18→40 Characters)
- Tables ightarrow Views (Alternate tables), BSEG ightarrow ACDOCA
- SAP's strategy changed e.g. Forms (discard Smart forms/SAP scripts)
- SAP removed a number of functionalities e.g. TDAG (SPRC), CPCL (PLM), SF Dispatcher

Learning:

- Dual Maintenance of custom code: Create clear strategy to deal with dual maintenance. More than 18 months was spent on dual maintenance.
- Variants Mgt: Change impact and mgt was a headache. It involved dealing with multiple users. E.g. VA05N →VA05 (New).
- Queries: A lot of queries became obsolete. A plan is required to deal with this. Finance took the ownership and pain was less in our case.
- Batch Job: Lack of focus on Batch job created some challenges.

Business Process Change Impact

The following were the key Business Processes Impacted as part of S/4 go-live.

Maintaining Customer/Vendor Master Data:

• Whole method of creating/maintaining customer & vendor master data has undergone a change and the functionality has migrated to the Business Partner.

Foreign Trade Data no longer in Material Master:

• Foreign trade tab is not longer present in Material Master. Functionality has migrated to GTS.

MRP doesn't allow planning at storage location level:

• Planning at Storage location level is no longer possible. So concept of planning at MRP Area level is to be adopted if classical MRP functionality is to be retained.

Activating New Asset Accounting:

• New Asset Accounting has to be activated as a pre-requisite for upgrading to S/4 HANA.

Credit Management:

• Moved to FSCM submodule. Existing processes need to be mapped to new FSCM solution.

Goods Receipts require MIGO :

• All MB* goods movement transactions are obsolete. MIGO is the new gold standard for performing goods movements.

Revenue Accounting & Reporting (RAR):

• Traditional mode of Revenue Recognition doesn't run on S/4. Migration to RAR tool is mandatory.



12

varian

Dealing with Third Party Interfaces & Batch Jobs

One area we overlooked during the development phase of the project and that surfaced during Integration test cycle 1 was the impact to third party interfaces. Also, a lot of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entities of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jobs were entited of the performance impacts to batch jo

Impact to Third Party Interfaces

- Impact of data model changes on middleware tools also need to be assessed, especially ones that feed to other third party systems.
- We have a lot of interfaces in our environment between SAP & Salesforce and the middleware tool was accounting for a material length of 18 chars, which surfaced during integration tests. It needed a good amount of re-work.

Impact on Batch Jobs

- Batch jobs is often an area that's overlooked during Integration Testing or UAT.
- A lot of batch jobs & BI extractors that query tables which have now become views in S/4 experienced performance degradation due to on the fly aggregation.
- We worked through a good number of these post go-live.

CISUG

varian

UI Strategy on S/4 project



Our goal as far as UI was concerned was to keep the user impact to a minimum. We still continue to use the SAP GUI.





14



Varian's Experience with FIORI

Varian has a FIORI footprint for over 4 years. We were already on FIORI 2.0 and had about 20 operational FIORI apps running in Production when we began the S/4 implementation journey.

Scaling up

- As part of S/4, we identified 107 new apps that we wanted to deploy as part of the project.
- Scaling up from 21 live apps to 128 live apps is a significant task and needs resource commitment.

Day-to-day operations with FIORI

- The Change Control Process for FIORI doesn't necessarily fit into the existing mold of change control adopted for other SAP related changes.
- There are operational challenges especially because there are a lot of handshakes and handoffs required between teams to get the apps built, tested & deployed (e.g. ABAP (OData), UI, Basis, Security, Functional)

FIORI is a platform that is still evolving

- New FIORI apps are getting added to the App Library on a daily basis
- New apps from SAP that are getting added will need additional time to mature & stabilize as initial version of these apps do contain bugs.
- Support from SAP on this front through the S/4 project has been pretty good though.



varian

15

varian

Security Impact

Almost 40 % of existing security roles need some rework as part of S/4 Project. Changes to Security roles were largely driven by Transaction Code Obsolescence in S/4.





S/4 Conversion and Migration

Pre Migration

17

Conversion

Post Migration

Downtime (duration)	No (3 Weeks→ 1 Week)	Yes (1 Week→14 Hrs.)	Yes (2 Weeks→34 Hrs.)
Activities	 Vendor/Customer → Business Partner New Asset Accounting activation, Credit Controls and New GL enabled Precheck remediation e.g. MRP area, BP, Foreign trade data, Material Uninstall unsupported Addon Maintenance Planner 	 Convert retained Addon to S/4 HANA 2.0 DB upgrade Convert single to Multi DB containers Suite on HANA-> S/4 Conversion 	 Finance Migration: GL, Asset and Credit mgt (24 Hrs). Transport Move: Code remediation (450/3 Hrs.) Other Cutover: Logistics, Sales, Projects, FIORI (4 Hrs.) System validation: Golden transactions (3 Hrs.)
Tools/Program	S/4 Precheck Report, Finance Precheck Reports, BP Migration Cockpit,	SUM* and HDBLCM**	Finance Migration Cockpit,
Long lead time items	 Getting rid of third party addons, Vendor customer data cleanup Configuration of new asset accounting, 	Material document conversionKONV (Pricing data) conversion	Migration to universal journal (MUJ)Credit Mgt migration



S/4 Conversion and Migration The only situation that we did not account for was the fact that production system was connected to High Availability system which was in

turn connected to Disaster Recovery system (Async replication) and due to known HANA database issue we lost 13 hours during shot cutover window.



Issue details:

- 1. <u>Log Shipping Issue</u>: Asynchronous Log shipping was not happening between primary and HA and it was filling up the asynchronous log shipping buffer on primary and bringing the primary system down.
- 2. <u>Garbage collection</u>: Garbage collection bug in HANA database version 2.00.023.00 was resulting in shared memory not freeing up for other tasks during finance migration. A workaround was applied to keep moving.

We did seven formal cutover run and these issues were never encountered partly because we never tested with HA and DR connected to our test systems. These problems were explicitly encountered because of HA connected to Production system.



SAP S/4HANA Migration-Timeline



CISUG

Testing Scope and Effort Extensive testing and validation was done including running automated integration test scripts. Overall 450 Business and IT resources across geos participated to pull it off.

Test Cycle Resources Total Test **Total Defects** Scope Duration Scripts Raised ITC - 1 All Modules & Interfaces 5 weeks Business – 0 1603 241 • IT - 75 ITC - 2 All Modules & Interfaces 5 weeks Business - 273 1174 384 IT - 75 UAT All modules & Interfaces Business - 294 2787 267 6 weeks IT - 40 Only Output Forms & ITC - 3 3 weeks 246 16 Business – 0 FIORI • IT - 75 Key Report Testing for Automated Testing Validation Testing Internal Audit 686 Unit Tests across all SAP Covered all GxP transactions in SAP • All SOX related reports (45 Modules standard and 51 custom) • 18 Test scripts Extensive documentation 24 SAP Integration tests • IQ was done for QA and Prod requirement for audit completed • 83 Tests cases for Salesforce and signed off Interface



Overall Summary

What did not go well ?

22







Thank you



Vana

Take the Session Survey.

We want to hear from you! Be sure to complete the session evaluation on the SAPPHIRE NOW and ASUG Annual Conference mobile app.



asug

Presentation Materials

Access the slides from 2019 ASUG Annual Conference here: http://info.asug.com/2019-ac-slides





For questions after this session, contact us at [email] and [email].



Let's Be Social.

Stay connected. Share your SAP experiences anytime, anywhere. Join the ASUG conversation on social media: **@ASUG365 #ASUG**



